

MARCH 7, 2020

FOR INQUIRIES CALL: 433-51-08 / 666-46-38



ANG mga error at omisyon sa Classified Ads ng PEOPLE'S BALITA ay dapat ipabatid sa amin sa mismong araw na nalathala ang anunsyo. Hindi pananagutan ng PEOPLE'S BALITA ang hihigit sa isang maling insertion ng anumang partikular na ad na hindi agad ipinagbigay-alam sa amin.

ATTENTION:
Out-of-Town Advertisers and Legal Notice Clients, Please send your Legal Notices, Birthday Greetings and other advertising materials through peoplesbalita@yahoo.com

Payments to the transactions may send through our bank Account No. 232-7-232521666 at Metrobank, Anonas branch.

We advise our clients to send their advertising materials together with the payment.

On the advertisements with due dates, please send the advertising material with the corresponding payment in the size to be occupied and the frequency of the materials would come out.

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2019-28854

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
MILEONA B. PAPIO
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within BATANGAS and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of THREE (3) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 2nd day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2019-28883

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
PAULITO R. RAMOS
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within OBANDO, BULACAN and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 13, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary

and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. MARK T. COFINO, CPA
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2019-27397

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
LEA F. FLORO
Applicant/s.

x-----x

SECOND NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within PARANAQUE CITY and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 13, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 18th day of FEBRUARY, 2020.

ATTY. MARK T. COFINO, CPA
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2019-27525

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
QUIRINA M. ORTEGA
Applicant/s.

x-----x

SECOND NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within CAVITE and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 13, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 18th day of FEBRUARY, 2020.

ATTY. MARK T. COFINO, CPA
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2019-28897

Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
PERLA YAP SANTINOR
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within DASMARINAS CAVITE and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 13, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. MARK T. COFINO, CPA
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2010-2122

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service.
ALFONSO S. VILLANUEVA
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service within MOLINO, CAVITE and from said place to any point in the island of Luzon accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which Certificate is valid up to JULY 25, 2020. In the application filed on NOVEMBER 29, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of the same number of unit.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 97-09576

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service.
MGE TRANSPORT CORPORATION
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI

AIRCONDITIONED service within CALOOCAN CITY and from said place to any point in the island of Luzon accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of FIFTEEN (15) unit/s, which Certificate is valid up to JANUARY 25, 2020. In the application filed on NOVEMBER 29, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of the same number of unit.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2003-3917

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service.
MGE TRANSPORT CORPORATION
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service within CALOOCAN CITY and from said place to any point in the island of Luzon accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of TEN (10) unit/s, which Certificate is valid up to MARCH 10, 2020. In the application filed on NOVEMBER 29, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate within the same territory with the use of the same number of unit.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation and
Communications
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City

CASE NO. 2014-18519

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a PUJ JITNEY service.
ELSA B. MENDOZA
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a PUJ JITNEY service for the transportation of passengers and freight on the route: ALABANG - BALIBAGO STA. ROSA LAGUNA VIA SSH and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which Certificate is valid until MAY 31, 2020. In the application filed on NOVEMBER 29, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate on the same route with the use of the same number of unit.

NOTICE is hereby given that this appli-

cation will be heard by this Board on MARCH 12, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation and
Communications
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region

East Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
CASE NO. NCR-PJ-2019-10-2010-05089
TRACKING ID NO. 134127

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service
CRISTINA G. FLORORITA
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service on the line: MONUMENTO - POLO VIA ACACIA MH DEL PILAR with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to DECEMBER 26, 2020. In the application filed on OCTOBER 25, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Regional Office on MARCH 12, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. at the LTRFB-NCR Court Room at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Metro Manila.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written opposition supported by documentary evidences on or before the above date, furnishing a copy of the same to applicant and may, if so desires, appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 30th day of OCTOBER, 2019.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
ATTORNEY IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation and
Communications
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region

East Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
CASE NO. NCR-EV-UV-2020-01-2010-0059
TRACKING ID NO. 13618

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a UV EXPRESS service
LOLITA E. REYES
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a UV EXPRESS service within: ALMAR SUBD. - TM KALAW VIA COMMONWEALTH with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which certificate is valid up to MAY 7, 2020. In the application filed on JANUARY 2, 2020, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Regional Office on APRIL 15, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. at the LTRFB-NCR Court Room at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Metro Manila.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written opposition supported by documentary evidences on or before the above date, furnishing a copy of the same to applicant and may, if so desires, appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN

B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 14th day of FEBRUARY, 2020.

ATTY. MARISSE ALBERTINE C.
ANGELES
ATTORNEY IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation and
Communications
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region

East Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
CASE NO. NCR-EV-TX-2019-5-2013-
03321

TRACKING ID NO. 130146

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a AIRCONDITIONED TAXI service
EL PUEBLO TRANSPORT SERVICES
INC.
Applicant/s.

x-----x

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service within PASIG CITY TO ANY POINT IN METRO MANILA with the use of THREE (3) unit/s, which authority is valid up to JUNE 24, 2019. In the application filed on MAY 21, 2019, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate on the same route and with the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Regional Office on MARCH 30, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. at the LTRFB-NCR Court Room at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Metro Manila.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written opposition supported by documentary evidences on or before the above date, furnishing a copy of the same to applicant and may, if so desires, appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 6th day of JUNE, 2019.

ATTY. ZONA RUSSET M. TAMAYO
REGIONAL DIRECTOR

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation and
Communications
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region

East Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City
CASE NO. NCR-EV-TX-2009-02058
TRACKING ID NO. 100342

Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a AIRCONDITIONED TAXI service
ANNABELLE R. FRANCISCO
Applicant/s.

x-----x

FINAL NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service within PASAY CITY TO ANY POINT IN METRO MANILA with the use of ONE (1) unit/s, which authority is valid up to AUGUST 15, 2018. In the application filed on AUGUST 15, 2017, applicant requests authority to extend the validity of the said Certificate on the same route and with the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Regional Office on MARCH 24, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. at the LTRFB-NCR Court Room at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Metro Manila.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written opposition supported by documentary evidences on or before the above date, furnishing a copy of the same to applicant and may, if so desires, appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 3rd day of MARCH, 2020.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
ATTORNEY IV

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL CAPITAL JUDICIAL REGION
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
BRANCH 161
PASIG CITY

MA. CRISTINA REVILLA,
Represented by her Attorney-In-Fact
RAMONA C. ESTRADA

Petitioner
-versus- CASE NO. R-PSG-17-01628-SC

PILAR P. PASCUAL and ARJAN
RAMNANI
Respondents,

x-----x

ORDER

This refers to respondent Arjan Ramnani's Motion for Leave of Court to Serve Summons by Publication.

Finding the motion to be of merit, pursuant to Section 14 of Rule 14 of the Revised Rules of Court, let service of summons be served on Katherine M. Madrigal by publication in a newspaper of general circulation for three (3) consecutive weeks within fifteen (15) days from receipt of this order.

SO ORDERED.
17 June 2019 City of Pasig

(Sgd.) NICANOR A. MANALO JR.
Presiding Judge

Copy Furnished:
1. BUÑAG CORTES AND SANCHEZ LAW OFFICE

Suites A & B 10th Flr. Strata 100 Building F,
Ortigas Jr. Road (formerly Emerald Avenue)
Ortigas Center, Pasig City

2. Atty. Dalmacio A. Magbuo
2nd Flr. M.H. Del Pilar St. Guinambayan I, San Mateo Rizal

3. Ma. Cristina Revilla
rep. by Ramona C. Estrada

No. 32 Riverview Drive Blueridge B. Quezon City

4. Arjan Ramnani
No. 3 Royal Palm Valle Verde IV Pasig City

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL CAPITAL JUDICIAL REGION
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
BRANCH 161
PASIG CITY

MA. CRISTINA REVILLA,
represented by her Attorney-In-Fact
RAMONA C. ESTRADA

Petitioner,
-versus- CASE NO. R-PSG-17-01628-SC

For Quieting of Title and
Cancellation of Encumbrance

PILAR P. PASCUAL and ARJAN
RAMNANI
Respondents,

x-----x

**(ALIAS)
SUMMONS**

TO: KATHERINE M. MADRIGAL
108 A Matahimik Street
Teachers Village, Diliman
Quezon City

GREETINGS:

You are hereby required within fifteen (15) days after service of this summons upon you to file this Court and serve on the plaintiff your answer to the complaint, copy of which is attached together with the annexes. You are reminded on the provision in the IBP-OCA Memorandum on Policy Guidelines dated March 12, 2002 to observe restraint in filing a motion to dismiss and instead allege the grounds thereof as defenses in the Answer. If you fail to answer within the time fixed, the plaintiff will take judgment by default and may be granted the relief applied for in the complaint.

WITNESS my hand under the seal of the Court, this 16th day of SEPTEMBER 2019.

(Sgd.) ATTY. MARIA LAARNI N.
MORALLOS-OMAR
Branch Clerk of Court

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
NATIONAL CAPITAL JUDICIAL REGION
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
BRANCH 161
CITY OF PASIG

MA. CRISTINA REVILLA,
Represented by her Attorney-In-Fact
RAMONA C. ESTRADA

Petitioner
-versus- CASE NO. R-PSG-17-01628-SC

For Quieting of Title and
Cancellation of Encumbrance

PILAR P. PASCUAL and ARJAN
RAMNANI
Respondents,

x-----x

**AMENDED ANSWER
WITH THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT**

Respondent ARJAN N. RAMNANI ("Respondent Ramnani") by counsel in answer to Petitioner Ma. Cristina Revilla's ("Petitioner") Petition dated 28 June 2017, respectfully avers:

1. The instant case is a proceeding filed by Petitioner under Article 476, Chapter 3 of the Civil Code of the Philippines, in relation to Rule 63 of the Rules of Court for the quieting of Condominium Certificate of Title No. 011-2104000717 ("New Title") of the Registry of Deeds for Pasig City, and the Cancellation of the encumbrance thereon, particularly Entry Nos. PT-31099/T-21759 and PT-36429/T-21759, to wit:

"Entry No. PT-31099/T-21759 - MORTGAGE in favor of ARIAN RAMNANI for the sum of TWO MILLION PESOS ONLY (P2,000,000.00) in accordance with Doc. No. 385, Page No. 77, Book No. IV, Series of 2001 of the Notary Public for Pasig City, Atty. Joel T. Pelicano dated April 25, 2001. Date of the Inscription - August 10, 2001 at 11:11 a.m.

"Entry No. PT-36429/T-21759 - NOTICE OF LEVY Affecting whatever rights interests, titles, claims and participation of the defendants

over the property described herein, issued by RTC Quezon City, Melchor A. Rosellon, Sheriff IV, Branch 225, in Civil Case No. Q-01-49493 entitled Pilar P. Pascual v. Katherine Madrigal-Gencza. Date of the Instrument: February 21, 2002. Date of the Inscription: February 21, 2002 at 1:05 p.m."

2. Entry No. PT-31099/T-21759 was originally annotated by Respondent Ramnani on Condominium Certificate of Title No. PT-21759 ("Old Title") of the Registry of Deeds for Pasig City registered under the name of Katherine M. Madrigal ("Madrigal"), in order to secure his interest in the condominium unit covered by the Old Title which was mortgaged by Madrigal in favor of Respondent Ramnani as security for a loan in the amount of Two Million Pesos (P2,000,000.00) as evidenced by a Deed of Real Estate Mortgage dated 25 April 2001¹.

3. On 15 August 2017, Defendant Ramnani received from the Clerk of Court of this Honorable Court, a copy of the Summons dated 14 July 2017, together with the Petition dated 28 June 2017, requiring Defendant Ramnani to file and serve his Answer or responsive pleading thereto.

4. However, due to heavy pressure from other equally important work and professional commitments, including out of town engagements, Respondents Ramnani, through undersigned counsel, was constrained to requests for an extension of fifteen (15) days from 30 August 2017, or until 14 September 2017, within which to file his Answer to the Petition.

5. On 14 September 2017, Respondent Ramnani filed his Answer dated 7 September 2017 before this Honorable Court.

6. As of date, no Reply to the Answer has been filed by Petitioner and Respondent Ramnani is filing this Amended Answer as a matter of right pursuant to Section 2 Rule 10 of the Rules of Court.

¹ See ANNEX "1" of Respondent Ramnani's Answer dated 7 September 2017.

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

7. On 20 April 2001, a certain Katherine M. Madrigal ("Madrigal") was introduced to Respondent Ramnani by a common friend, Ms. Josephine De Guzman (who signed as witness in the Deed of Real Estate Mortgage referred to below), as engaged in the jewelry business and in need of a short term loan of Two Million Pesos (P2,000,000.00) for use in her business. The loan was to be secured by Madrigal's property, a condominium unit, covered by Condominium Certificate of Title ("CCT") No. PT-21759² of the Registry of Deeds for the City of Pasig (the Property"), more particularly described as:

"A Three Bedroom Condominium Unit No. 206 with a floor area of 116.10 square meters, more or less, which form part of the Vine Villas Condominium Project.

8. Relying on the referral from Ms. De Guzman and sensing a good business opportunity, Respondent Ramnani, as a prudent businessman, checked the original of CCT No. PT-21759 at the Registry of Deeds for the City of Pasig on 23 April 2001 to verify the same and to see whether it has any lien or encumbrance.

9. Respondent Ramnani found that title clean as the adverse claim of one Zenaida Uy was cancelled on 14 August 2000, while the notice of *lis pendens* of one Raul Ibay Tolentino was likewise cancelled on 31 July 2000. Hence, from the time of the aforementioned cancellations up to the time Respondent Ramnani checked out the title, or for a period of eight (8) months, the title remained clean.

10. On 25 April 2001, Respondent Ramnani and Madrigal executed a *Deed of Real Estate Mortgage* whereby Respondent Ramnani granted Madrigal a loan in the amount of Two Million Pesos (P2,000,000.00) secured by the condominium unit covered by CCT No. PT-21759. Simultaneously with the execution of the Deed of Real Estate Mortgage, Madrigal surrendered the original duplicate title of CCT No. PT-21759 to Respondent Ramnani, which likewise bear no annotation of any claim or lien.

11. Due to his busy schedule and confident that he was dealing with an honest, honorable and highly-recommended person, Respondent Ramnani did not immediately register his mortgage. After all, Madrigal left with him post-dated Metrobank checks to repay the loan, one for P2,000,000.00 to pay the principal and ten (10) checks of P60,000.00 each to pay the interest, together with the original duplicate title of the property (CCT No. PT-21759) mortgaged in his favor as security for the loan.

12. When Respondent Ramnani finally went to the Registry of Deeds for the City of Pasig sometime in the second week of May 2001, much to his chagrin and surprise, the Registry of Deeds declined to annotate his mortgage even upon presentation of the owner's duplicate on the ground that on 11 May 2001, Madrigal annotated on the title an Affidavit of Loss of the title (Entry No. PT-28286/T-21759). However, the Registry of Deeds advised Respondent Ramnani to have Madrigal execute first an Affidavit of Recovery.

13. Respondent Ramnani was completely flabbergasted because when the extended the loan to Madrigal against the mortgage, Madrigal surrendered to him the owner's duplicate of the title. Indeed, as provided in paragraph 4 of the Deed of Real Estate Mortgage, Respondent Ramnani would return the title to Madrigal upon full payment of the loan. Madrigal therefore knew that the title was not lost as it was with Respondent Ramnani all along.

14. Respondent Ramnani thus sought out Madrigal, who finally with her mother, Felidina Mendoza, executed on 7 August 2001, an Affidavit

of Recovery which was annotated on the title on the same date (Entry No. PT-30956/T-21759). Thus, it was only on 10 August 2001 that Respondent Ramnani was able to annotate on the title the mortgage in his favor (Entry No. PT-31099/T-21759).

15. All the post dated checks issued by Madrigal were dishonored on the ground that the account had been closed. Hence, the loan obligation of Madrigal still subsists as no payment has been made by the latter despite verbal demands by Respondent Ramnani for Madrigal to pay the sum of P2,000,000.00 plus stipulated interest.

16. Thus, on 16 January 2003, Respondent Ramnani filed a civil case for Collection of Sum of Money and Judicial Foreclosure against Madrigal before the Regional Trial Court of Pasig City, Branch 161 docketed as Civil Case No. 69284, entitled "Arjan Ramnani vs. Katherine M. Madrigal and Ma. Cristina Revilla".

Copies of the Complaint dated 10 January 2003 and the Return of Service dated 14 April 2003 are hereto attached and made an integral part hereof as ANNEXES "4" and "5", respectively.

II

ADMISSION AND SPECIFIC DENIALS

17. The allegations in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 are denied for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof.

18. The allegations in paragraph 4 are admitted with the qualification that Respondent Ramnani is a Filipino citizen, and may be served with summons, notices, orders, pleadings, and other processes of this Honorable Court through the undersigned law firm at its address indicated below.

19. The allegations in paragraph 5, 6, and 7 are denied for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof.

20. The allegations in paragraph 8 are admitted.

21. The allegations in paragraph 9 are admitted insofar as it alleged that "On August 10, 2001 the defendant Arjan Ramnani caused the annotation of the mortgage on CCT No. PT-21759 on file with the Registry of Deeds of Pasig as Entry No. 31099/T-21759", while the rest are denied for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof.

22. The allegations in paragraph 10 are admitted.

23. The allegations in paragraph 11 to 12 are denied for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof.

24. The allegations in paragraph 13 to 15 are denied for being mere opinions, arguments, self-serving assertions, and erroneous conclusions or interpretations of facts and/or laws, the truth of the matter are those stated in the Special and Affirmative Defenses.

25. The allegations in paragraph 16 to 19 are denied for lack of knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof, and/or taken in their entirety, the same are mere opinions, arguments, and interpretations of facts and/or conclusions of laws.

III

SPECIAL AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As Special and Affirmative Defense, Respondent Ramnani incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations and further alleges that: **THE PETITION SHOULD OUTRIGHTLY BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST RESPONDENTS.**

26. A suit that is not brought in the name of the real property in interest is dismissible on the ground that the complaint fails to state a cause of action.

27. In the instant Petition, Petitioner prays for the quieting of title over CCT No. 011-2014000717 and for the Registry of Deeds for Pasig City to cancel the encumbrances therein, however, Petitioner failed to state her cause of action against Respondent Ramnani and Pilar P. Pascual as may warrant the grant of such prayer against the latter.

28. In fact, even if respondent indulge and agree with Petitioner's prayer, they cannot be ordered by the Honorable Court to cause the removal of the subject entries since clearly, they do not have such power and function.

29. In the case of Nagkaisang Lakas ng Manggagawa sa Keihin (NLKM-OLALIA-KMU) v. Keihin Philippines Corporation,³ the Supreme Court had the occasion to state that:

"Under Section 7, Rule 3 of the Rules of Court, "parties in interest without whom no final determination can be had of an action shall be joined as plaintiffs or defendant." If there is a failure to implead an indispensable party, any judgment rendered would have no effectiveness. It is precisely "when an indispensable party is not before the court (that) an action should be dismissed." The absence of an indispensable party renders all subsequent actions of the court null and void for want of authority to act, not only to the absent parties but even to those present." The purpose of the rules on joinder of indispensable parties is a complete determination of all issues not only between the parties themselves, but also as regards other persons who may be affected by the judgment. A decision valid on its face cannot attain real finality where there is want of indispensable parties." (Emphasis and underscoring supplied).

30. Similarly, in the case of *Plasabas v. Court of Appeals*,⁴ the Court held that a final decree would necessarily affect the rights of indispensable parties so that the Court could not proceed without their presence. In support thereof, the Court in *Plasabas* cited the following authorities, thus:

"The general rule with reference to the making of parties in a civil action requires the joinder of all indispensable parties under any and

all conditions, their presence being a sine qua non of the exercise of judicial power. (Borlasa v. Polistico, 47 Phil. 345, 348) For this reason, our Supreme Court has held that when it appears of record that there are other persons interested in the subject matter of the litigation, who are not made parties to the action, it is the duty of the court to suspend the trial until such parties are made either plaintiffs or defendants. (Pobre, et al., v. Blanco, 17 Phil. 156) x x x Where the petition failed to join as party defendant the person interested in sustaining the proceeding in the court, the same should be dismissed. x x x When an indispensable party is not before the court, the action should be dismissed. (People, et al., v. Rodriguez, et al., G. R. Nos. L-14059-62, September 30, 1959) (sic)

Parties in interest without whom no final determination can be had an action shall be joined either as plaintiffs or defendants. (Sec. 7, Rule 3, Rules of Court). The burden of procuring the presence of all indispensable parties is on the plaintiff (39 Amjur [sic] 885). The evident purpose of the rule is to prevent the multiplicity of suits by requiring the person arresting a right against the defendant to include with him, either as co-plaintiffs or as co-defendants, all persons standing in the same position, so that the whole matter in dispute may be determined once and for all in one litigation (Palarca v. Baginsi, 38 Phil. 177, 178)".

31. An indispensable party is defined as one who has such an interest in the controversy or subject matter that a final adjudication cannot be made, in his absence, without injuring or affecting that interest.

32. In the instant petition, it is clearly necessary for Petitioner to implead the Register of Deeds of the City of Pasig, who is an indispensable party, for without him no complete relief can be had in the petition as Petitioner seeks the quieting of title and the removal of an encumbrance in a title.

33. The presence of indispensable parties is a condition for the exercise of judicial power and when an indispensable party is not before the court, the action should be dismissed. The absence of an indispensable party renders all subsequent actions of the court null and void for want of authority to act, not only as to the absent parties but even as to those present.⁵

RESPONDENT RAMNANI IS A MORTGAGEE IN GOOD FAITH AND FOR VALUE OF THE PROPERTY COVERED BY THE CCT NO. PT-21759.

34. In case of *PNB vs. Heirs of Estanislao Militar et al.*,⁶ the Supreme Court executed enunciated:

⁵W. Riano, *Civil Procedure*, Volume 1 The Bar Lectures Series, p.237, 2011 edition; 6GR. No. 164801; 30 June 2006;

"A buyer of real property which is in possession of another must be wary and investigate the rights of the latter. Otherwise, without such inquiry, the buyer cannot be said to be in good faith and cannot have any right over the property. We explained this principle in Consolidated Rural Bank (Cagayan Valley), Inc. vs. Court of Appeals and applies also held therein that this rule likewise to mortgages of real property."

35. Before the execution of the Deed of Real Estate Mortgage between Madrigal and Respondent Ramnani, the latter had thoroughly investigated the ownership of the property to ensure that the title is clean and free from any lien and encumbrance.

36. In fact, Respondent Ramnani personally checked out the title at the Registry of Deeds for City of Pasig and he found it to be clean and free of lien and encumbrance between the period of 14 August 2000 to 23 April 2001, a period of eight (8) months. And only after undertaking all these steps did he accept the Property as security for loan of Two Million Pesos (P2,000,000.00) which he extended to Madrigal on 25 April 2001.

THE DEED OF ABSOLUTE SALE DATED 9 JANUARY 2001 IS DEFECTIVE AND SPURIOUS AND ITS CIRCUMSTANCES DUBIOUS.

37. Respondent Ramnani has the prior and better right that Petitioner as the alleged Deed of Absolute Sale (Annex B of the Petition) evincing the alleged sale of Property to Petitioner is covered by glaring inconsistencies which lends credence that the same is simulated and false, spurious.

38. The Deed of Absolute Sale dated 9 January 2001 ("Deed") is null and void due to several fatal flaws:

38.1. Considering that the amount involved a hefty sum of Four Million Pesos (P4,000,000.00) it is incredible and beyond everyday human logic that Petitioner entered into a sale agreement with vendor, Katherine Madrigal, without asking beforehand as to the existence/availability, or ensuring the receipt, of the title of the property involved. Petitioner alleged that it was only upon the execution of the Deed of Absolute Sale that she demanded from the vendor the owner's duplicate copy of CCT No. PT-21759⁷. And even granting arguendo that Petitioner is gullible enough to have inquired so as to the existence or availability of the title only upon the execution of the Deed of Absolute Sale involving a property worth P4 Million, it is certainly unbelievable that it took Petitioner more than six months or half a year (January 9, 2001 to July 30/31, 2001) to annotate her adverse claim on the title after knowing that she bought a property (worth millions) the title of which could not be presented because it was lost.

38.2. The technical description in the Deed of Absolute Sale is for a parcel of land with an area of 2,500 square meters and not about a condominium unit;

38.3. Petitioner's attorney-in-Fact, Ramona Estrada, signed for the latter as vendee, but did not appear before the notary public to acknowledged her signature; and

38.4. Neither Madrigal nor Ramona Estrada, presented their Community Tax Certificate or competent evidence of identities, in gross violation of the Notarial Law.

39. With the foregoing, it bears pointing out that the Deed of being a fatally defective and its circumstances dubious, was an improper basis for the annotation of the Petitioner's adverse claim, hence, the mortgage of Respondent Ramnani prevails. And even granting arguendo that the Deed

of Absolute Sale is valid (which it is not), the fact that it was not annotated prior to the annotation of the mortgage of Respondent Ramnani, the latter's claim to the property has legal preference.

RESPONDENT RAMNANI'S MORTGAGE ACTION ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY HAS NOT PRESCRIBED AS IT WAS INTERRUPTED BY HIS FILING OF A JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE BEFORE BRANCH 161 OF THE RTC OF PASIG.

⁷ See Paragraph 6 of the Petition.

40. Article 1142 of the Civil Code of the Philippines provides

"Article 1142. A mortgage action prescribes after ten years."

41. Furthermore, Article 1155 of the same law likewise provides:

Art. 1155. The prescription of actions is interrupted when they are filed before the court, when there is a written extrajudicial demand by the creditors, and when there is any written acknowledgment of the debt by the debtor (Emphasis and underscoring supplied)

42. Meanwhile, in the *Deed of Real Estate Mortgage* executed between Madrigal and Respondent Ramnani, Paragraph 3 thereof provides:

"3 That the mortgage shall be for a period of ONE (1) year ending on April 25, 2002 xxx"

43. Thus, with the above-cited statutory provisions and provisions of the Deed of Real Estate Mortgage, Respondent Ramnani has a period of ten (10) years counted from 25 April 2002, or until 25 April 2012 within which to institute his mortgage action on the subject property.

44. However, less than ONE (1) year after the right to mortgage action accrued in favor of Respondent Ramnani, the latter on 16 January 2003, filed a civil case for Collection of Sum of Money and Judicial Foreclosure against Madrigal before the Regional Trial.

⁸ See Annex "1";

Court of Pasig, Branch 161 docketed as Civil Case No. 69284, which effectively tolled the running of the 10 year prescriptive period.

45. In fact, such fact of filing is known to Petitioner considering that a copy of the summons is the afore-cited case was received by Petitioner through her aunt, Clarita Montoya, on 10 April 2003 as per the *Return of Service* of the Court's process server, Julio S. Bautista, hence the Court effectively acquired jurisdiction over her person.

46. Thus Petitioner, clearly committed perjury and/or fraud upon this Honorable Court when it alleged in the last paragraph of Paragraph 15 of her Petition that:

"xxx yet until this date or almost 17 years has passed and the petitioner has no knowledge whether or not defendant Ramnani has made any action to enforce whatever right he has against the mortgagee Katherine M. Madrigal." (Emphasis and underscoring supplied).

**IV
COUNTERCLAIM**

47. By way of Counterclaims, Respondent Ramnani incorporate by reference the allegations above and further alleges that:

48. Due to the filing of this suit and the inclusion of Respondent Ramnani, the latter was constrained to engage the services of counsel for a fee and incur expenses of litigation. Petitioner should thus be made liable to pay Respondent Ramnani the amount of not less than ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PESOS (P100,000.00) plus appearance fees in the amount of not less than SEVEN THOUSAND PESOS (P7,000.00) per court appearance of Respondent Ramnani's counsel.

A copy of the *Engagement Proposal* dated 15 August 2017 is hereto attached and made an integral part hereof as ANNEX "6".

⁹ See Annex "6";

**V.
THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT
CAUSES OF ACTION**

**A. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
PAYMENT/RECOVERY OF LOAN
AMOUNT**

49. The foregoing allegations are repleaded herein by reference.

49.1. Respondent Ramnani is a mortgagee in good faith and for value by virtue of the *Deed of Real Estate Mortgage* dated 25 April 2001, which provides:

"That the mortgage shall be for a period of ONE (1) year ending on April 25, 2002, with an interest rate of three percent (3%) interest in monthly post-dated checks.

49.2. Madrigal had given Respondent Ramnani post-dated Metrobank checks to repay the loan, one for P2,000,000.00 to pay the principal and ten (10) checks of P60,000.00 each to pay the interest, together with the original duplicate copy of the Old Title mortgaged in the latter's favor.

49.3. But while Madrigal had given Respondent Ramnani the aforesaid post-dated Metrobank checks, together with the turnover of the Old Title covering the property, the said checks were all dishonored on the ground that the account from which the subject checks were drawn had been closed. Hence, the loan obligation, by reason of which an encumbrance was annotated on Petitioner's New Title, still subsists up to the present.

49.4. Respondent Ramnani verbally demanded from Madrigal to pay the above sum of P2,000,000.00 plus the stipulated interest, but the latter has failed to pay the same as of date.

**B. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
ATTORNEY'S FEES & COST OF SUIT**

50. The foregoing allegations are repleaded herein by reference.

50.1. By reason of Madrigal's unjustified refusal to pay her loan balance, plus the stipulated interest, Respondent Ramnani was constrained to engage the services of counsel for a fee if only to ensure collection of the amount due him.

50.2. Madrigal must be held liable to Respondent Ramnani for attorney's fees of not less

than ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PESOS (P100,000.00) and expenses of litigation or such amounts as may be proven in the course of the trial

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is most respectfully prayed of this Honorable Court that Katherine M. Madrigal be impleaded as Third Party Defendant in the instant case in order to afford complete relief to Respondent Arjan N. Ramnani and avoid multiplicity of suit, and after due hearing, judgment be rendered.

1. Dismissing the Petition in toto for lack of merit;

2. Against Petitioner and in favor of Respondent Ramnani for attorney's fees in the amount of not less than ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND PESOS (P100,000.00) plus appearance fees in the amount of not less than SEVEN THOUSAND PESOS (P7,000.00) per court appearance of Respondent Ramnani's counsel.

ANNEXES



EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF THE ESTATE OF THE DECEASED PETRONILO LOPEZ and FLORENTINA LOPEZ WITH WAIVER OF RIGHTS

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **PETRONILO LOPEZ** who died on November 20, 2005 and **FLORENTINA C. LOPEZ** who died on December 31, 2003, left a parcel of land with TCT No. PT-108674 has been extrajudicially settled with Waiver of Rights in favor of **C E C I L I A LOPEZ SALUTEM, ROSENDO LOPEZ, CEFERIANO C. LOPEZ, FELOMENO LOPEZ, and ARSENIO C. LOPEZ**, as per Doc. No. 467, Page No. 95, Book No. 732, Series of 2020, Before Notary Public Atty. ALFONSO B. PAJIMNA.

PB/Pub. Dates: March 7, 14, & 21, 2020

NOTICE OF LOSS

Notice is hereby given that under Certificate No. 05-12685, CAF No. 05P00272, Lot involved: Lot 05, Block 439, Section G, Sacred Memory Garden, under the name of **ELSAA. ROLLON**, residence at No. 104 Padre Diego Cera Avenue., Manuyo Las Pinas City was lost and could not find inspite diligent search as per Doc. No. 432, Page No. 86, Book No. 70, Series of 2017 Before Notary Public Atty. PEDRO D. GENATO

PB/Pub. Date: March 7, 2020.

EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **CANDIDO PEREZ ALVARO** who died on December 27, 2018 at Batangas Medical Center Batangas City and **MEDINA E. ALVARO** who died on February 26, 2020, left a motor vehicle bearing Plate No. DWM-933 has been extrajudicially settled in favor of **EDWIN E. ALVARO**, as per Doc. No. 22, Page No. 5, Book No. XI, Series of 2020, Before Notary Public Atty. CATHERINE REÑA GABIA, CPA.

PB/Pub. Dates: March 7, 14, & 21, 2020

errata:

Under Case No. RVI-EV-TH-2020-02-09-2218-NOC (2009-VI-2218-NOC) EDDIE M. MONTERO, it should be with the use of **TWO (2) units** and not a s published. Under Case No. TNVS-2019-14647, it should be read as **JOEL MILLO CALLAO** and not as published. Under Case No. 13-04-01332, it should be read as **ANNABELLE MAGNO** and not as published. Under applicant AGUSTIN ALBINA it should be under Case No. 2001-1655 and not as published. Under Case No. TNVS-2018-12042, it should be read as **JENEFER M. RAMIREZ** and not as published. Under Case No. EV-SB-2019-10-2005-00527, it should be read as **HERMOSO P. BANEZ** and not as published.

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2020-0413
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
Applicant/s.
ANGELO VERGANZA

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within LIPA CITY and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on APRIL 16, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they

EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE OF ERLINDA SG. GUCO WITH JOINT AFFIDAVIT

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **ERLINDA SG. GUCO** who died on May 16, 2007 at Quezon City left a parcel of land situated in Brgy. Escopa II Project 4 Quezon City, particularly described as a portion of Lot 9, Block 34, Pcs-00-014047, with an area of thirty six (36) square meters has been extrajudicially settled among his heirs as per Doc. No. 164, Page No. 33, Book No. XXI, Series of 2019, Before Notary Public Atty. FLORIMOND C. ROUS.

PB/Pub. Dates: March 7, 2020.

EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT WITH WAIVER OF RIGHTS

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **JOSE L. DY** who died on November 11, 2001 in Pasay City, left a motor vehicle bearing Plate No. WDB222 has been extrajudicially settled with Waiver of Rights in favor of **SHIRLEY LU UY** as per Doc. No. 700, Page No. 62, Book No. 40, Series of 2020, Before Notary Public Atty. CRIST T. PACULANANG.

PB/Pub. Dates: Feb. 29, March 7 & 14, 2020.

DEED OF EXTRAJUDICIAL SETTLEMENT OF ESTATE

Notice is hereby given that the estate of the late **JOSEPH LINCALLO HODREAL** who died on November 26, 2019 left certain property has been extrajudicially settled among his heirs as per Doc. No 54, Page No. 12, Book No. II, Series of 2020, Before Notary Public Atty. EARL CHARLES N. VILLARIN.

PB/Pub. Dates: February 22, 29 & March 7, 2020

so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 28th day of JANUARY, 2020.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV
SHearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-28961
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
Applicant/s.
PEDRO W. MABUTI

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within PAMPANGA and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of TWO (2) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 16, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the

parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 5th day of DECEMBER, 2019.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2020-0529
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a SHUTTLE Service QUEENSTOWN BUS LINE INC.
Applicant/s.

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests approval of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a SHUTTLE Service for the exclusive transportation of employees and staff of TOSHIBA INFORMATION & EQUIPMENT PHILIPPINES INC. located at Gateway Business Park Gen. Trias Cavite and HGST PHILIPPINES CORP. located at 103 East Avenue Extension Laguna Technopark Binan Laguna and from said place to their respective residences in Cubao, Makati, Pasay, Lawton, Alabang, Zapote, Magallanes, Sta. Rosa, San Pedro, Tagaytay, Calamba, Lipa, Sta. Cruz, San Pablo, Dasmariñas, Bacoor, Imus and from the said factory site to their respective pick up points and drop off points on the following routes to wit: Time: 5:15AM, Destination: AMADEO, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7516, Time: 5:10AM, Destination: DASMARINAS, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7515, Time: 10:30AM, Destination: ALABANG/SUCAT, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDK3453, Time: 6:20PM, Destination: GMA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7518, Time: 4:45AM, Destination: STA. ROSA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7519, Time: 6:45AM, Destination: MOLINO, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7517, Time: 7:50AM, Destination: PALA PALA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7512, Time: 4:00PM, Destination: REAL CALAMBA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7514, Time: 5:00AM, Destination: LIPA, BATANGAS, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NEW, Time: 6:00AM, Destination: SAN PEDRO/TAGUIG, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7513.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MAY 18, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 5th day of MARCH, 2020.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-29516
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
Applicant/s.
REBECCA C. TOPACIO

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within VALENZUELA CITY and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 27, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 4th day of MARCH, 2020.

ATTY. MARK T. COFINO, CPA
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2020-1581
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a SHUTTLE BUS Service GM JOE TOURS & TRANSPORT CORP.
Applicant/s.

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests approval of a Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a SHUTTLE BUS Service for the exclusive transportation of employees and staff of MAXIM PHILIPPINES OPERATING CORPORATION located at Gateway Business Park Gen. Trias Cavite and HGST PHILIPPINES CORP. located at 109 Technology Ave., SEZP, Laguna Technopark, Binan Laguna and from said factory site to their respective pick up points and drop off points on the following routes to wit: Time: 5:15AM, Destination: AMADEO, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7516, Time: 5:10AM, Destination: DASMARINAS, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7515, Time: 10:30AM, Destination: ALABANG/SUCAT, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDK3453, Time: 6:20PM, Destination: GMA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7518, Time: 4:45AM, Destination: STA. ROSA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7519, Time: 6:45AM, Destination: MOLINO, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7517, Time: 7:50AM, Destination: PALA PALA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7512, Time: 4:00PM, Destination: REAL CALAMBA, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7514, Time: 5:00AM, Destination: LIPA, BATANGAS, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NEW, Time: 6:00AM, Destination: SAN PEDRO/TAGUIG, No of Units: Bus No. (1 Units), Plate No.: NDL7513.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MAY 18, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 5th day of MARCH, 2020.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-29516
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
Applicant/s.
REBECCA C. TOPACIO

NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service within VALENZUELA CITY and from said place to any point in the PHILIPPINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application will be heard by this Board on MARCH 27, 2020 at 1:00 p.m. at its office at the above address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Parties opposed to the granting of the application must file their written oppositions supported by documentary evidence on or before the above date furnishing a copy of the same to the applicant/s and may if they so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this Board on the basis of its records and documentary evidence submitted by the parties, unless the Board deems it necessary to receive additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

Should the applicant FAILS to appear on the scheduled hearing, it shall cause the automatic re-setting of the case on the following week of the same day.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY. MARTIN B. DELGRA III, Chairman, this 5th day of MARCH, 2020.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Attorney IV
Hearing Officer

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2019-29516
Application for a Certificate of a Public Convenience to operate a TH-Freight Truck Service
Applicant/s.
REBECCA C. TOPACIO

