

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Office-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
11th Judicial Region
Branch 40
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur
official e-mail address:
rtc1md040@judiciary.gov.ph
Landline - (086) 2143114

SPEC. PRO. NO. 02-2022
CHARITY M. NOLASCO
Petitioner,
FOR: "DECLARATION OF
NULLITY OF MARRIAGE"
- Versus-
ALLAN M. MARQUEZ
Respondent.

-----X-----
SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION
TO: ALLAN M. MARQUEZ

Pursuant to the 2 November 2022 Order of the court authorizing service of summons by publication, you are hereby required, within thirty (30) days from the publication of this summons and petition, to file with this court and serve on the petitioners your answer to the petition or any such responsive pleading. If you fail to answer, the petitioners will take judgment by default and may be granted the reliefs applied for in their petition.

Witness my hand under the seal of this Court this 6th day of March 2023 at Tandag City, Surigao del Sur.

ATTY. YUL BERNIE L. CURADA
Clerk of Court V

Republic of the Philippines
REGIONAL TRIAL COURT
11th Judicial Region
Branch
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur

SPECIAL PRO. NO. 02-2022-SP
CHARITY M. NOLASCO
Petitioner,
For:
"DECLARATION OF
NULLITY OF MARRIAGE"
ALLAN M. MARQUEZ
Respondent.
-----X-----/

PETITION
PETITIONER, through the undersigned counsel, and unto this Honorable Court, most respectfully alleges that:

THE PARTIES

- Petitioner **CHARITY M. NOLASCO (CHARITY)**, is of legal age, Filipino Citizen and a resident of PRK, JDN, Mabua, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur, where she may be served with summons and other processes of the Court. The same notices can be served through his counsel at Diaz Law Office, Victoria, Tago, Surigao del Sur;
- In compliance with the Jurisdictional Requirement as set forth in A.M. No. 02-11-10-SC, the following are hereby attached: *sworn certification of residency (with house location sketch) issued by the barangay as Exhibit "A"; sworn statement of council of record that he personally verified petitioner's residence as Exhibit "C"; passport ID as Exhibit "B"; and community tax certificate as Exhibit "D";*
- Respondent **ALLAN M. MARQUEZ (ALLAN)**, is of legal age, Filipino, and a resident of Edwards Subdivision, Poblacion, District 1, Brooke's Point, Palawan, where he may be served with summons and other processes of the Court;

PREFATORY STATEMENT

- This present petition is for the declaration of the marriage between petitioner and respondent as VOID on two grounds:

- False Affidavit of Long Cohabitation which results to a **MARRIAGE WITHOUT A MARRIAGE LICENSE**, an absence in one of the formal requisites of a valid marriage; and
- PSYCHOLOGICAL INCAPACITY of the respondent.

THE FACTS

- Petitioner and Respondent got married on January 05, 2005, in Tandag City. Attached hereto as **Exhibit "E"** is the copy of their certificate of marriage;
- Charity grew up in a conservative environment to devout Roman Catholic parents that imposed stringent household rules. Corporal punishment for disobedience of said rules were enforced. Good behavior, such as good academic performance, was rewarded;
- Allan grew up primarily at the care of his mother. Allan's father started working overseas in Saudi Arabia when the former was quite young. Allan's mother is a devout Born Again Christian. As such, she was a strict disciplinarian with rigid and stubborn beliefs and imposed these ideals on Allan as child. His mother was his voice and was the one who made decisions for him including the decision to pursue a career as a nurse overseas;

- Petitioner Charity and Respondent Allan met on June 2004 working as staff nurses at Almarna General Hospital in Damman, Saudi Arabia. Charity was assigned in the Female Medical Ward with alternating day and night shifts, sometimes working on weekends. Allan worked daytime on weekdays at the Endoscopy Department;
- The parties became sweethearts through the telephone where they would talk two to three times a week for a maximum of thirty (30) minutes. The parties never went on a date for the whole duration of their relationship due to strict restrictions in Saudi Arabia. Allan was Charity's first boyfriend;
- During the relationship, Charity noticed that Allan was a "mama's boy" as he would often talk about her mother with reverence and could not make decisions without her. They would disagree often about religion as Allan would insist that the Born Again Christian doctrines are superior to Roman Catholicism. To avoid prolonging arguments, Charity would concede;
- Around November 2004, Charity opened up about going to the Philippines for vacation. Allan initiated discussions of getting married. Charity made it clear that she does not want to convert to a Born Again Christian. Allan assured her that it would not be a problem. Allan proposed that they marry first through a civil ceremony and a church wedding through Roman Catholic rites will follow if they have garnered enough savings;
- During the wedding preparations, Allan was uncooperative and unhelpful. Although they both shared the expenses, the latter often complained about the long travel time to Tandag
- Due to their limited time of stay in the country, and in order to expedite the process of their wedding, the parties did not secure a marriage license. The parties instead signed a joint affidavit of long cohabitation on the very same day of their marriage ceremony as they were informed that it is one of the formalities of their marriage.
- Allan's family were absent during the celebration of their marriage. Unbeknownst to Charity, Allan's mother had disapproved of their plans of getting married unless Charity would convert into a Born Again Christian. Charity, however, was indignant that she would not convert as it is important for her family to remain Roman Catholic;
- During their marriage, Charity experienced sexual and verbal abuse from Allan. Charity admitted that every sexual intercourse was traumatic and painful as Allan was more concerned of achieving orgasm despite Charity crying or in pain. Allan even forced her to have oral sex but Charity refused;
- Few days after their marriage, Charity fled to Bulacan to avoid having sex with Allan under the pretext that she would go see her sister. Allan, accompanied by his mother, would go to her to insist that Charity needed to return which she did begrudgingly;
- Charity would then ask for a church wedding but Allan would deny it unless she converted, and that his mother would rather "kill herself," than have Allan marry under a Roman Catholic Ceremony. Charity retorted that Allan is already a full grown man that does need to be dictated by his mother, but Allan responded that he must obey her;
- By February 2005, Charity returned to Saudi Arabia for work, and the parties' long-distance relationship began. Charity noticed that Allan made no effort to apply for a job and became increasingly demanding. Allan would ask Charity to sponsor family outings, medical expenses for his family, and would continually ask when will be the next time she sends money;
- Since their marriage, Charity could not enjoy her hard-earned money as she would withhold on her savings to support Allan. When asked why he was not applying for jobs, Allan would reason out that he was busy with church activities;
- Allan was also verbally abusive as he did not approve of Charity sending money to her family, constantly making her choose between Allan and her family. Allan would guilt-trip Charity for sending money to her family. He also threatened to break up with Charity if she would not heed his demands;
- The parties had their separation de facto in August 2005. Charity could no longer take the verbal abuse and exploitation by Allan and his family. Furthermore, Allan did not make any effort to apply for jobs and continued to be dependent on Charity;
- Their last communication occurred through a phone call in 2015 when Allan, accompanied by his mother, visited Charity's sister in Laguna;
- Charity contends that there is no longer chance for reconciliation. For less than a year she was married to Allan, the latter did not show any love, respect, nor support. Allan was exploitative, abusive, and insensitive to her needs. She cannot stay in a marriage, let alone be recognized to be married to a person who has caused her misery, trauma, and suffering.

DISCUSSION OF LEGAL BASIS

- Marriage is void ab initio for lack of marriage license.**
- The Family Code of the Philippines which is the law that governs the marriage of the parties in this case provides for the following rules pertinent to the instant case, to wit:
- Article 3. The formal requisites of marriage are:**
- Authority of the solemnizing officer;
 - A valid marriage license except in the cases provided for in Chapter 2 of this Title; and
 - A marriage ceremony which takes place before the solemnizing officer and their personal declaration that they take each other as husband and wife in the presence of not less than two witnesses of legal age.
- Article 4. The absence of any of the essential and formal requisites shall render the marriage void ab initio except as stated in Article 35(2).**
- xxx
- Therefore, a marriage solemnized without a marriage license is void. But as with other legal provisions, this rule has an exception.
- Article 34** is one of the exceptions which states that:
- "No license shall be necessary for the marriage of a man and a woman who have lived together as husband and wife for at least (5) years and without any legal impediment to marry each other. The contradicting parties shall state the foregoing facts in an affidavit before any person authorized by law to administer oaths. The solemnizing officer shall also state under oath that he ascertained the qualifications of the contracting parties and found no legal impediment to the marriage."
- In the case of **Manzano v. Sanchez, A.M. No. MTJ-001329, March 8, 2001**, the Court established the requisites for the exception of the requirement of marriage license under Article 34, these are the following:
- Living together as husband and wife for at least five (5) years before the marriage;
 - No legal impediment to marry each other during the period of cohabitation;
 - Fact of absence of legal impediment must be present at the time of marriage;
 - Parties must execute an Affidavit that they are living together as husband and wife for 5 years and that they do not have any impediment to marry; and
 - Solemnizing Officer must execute a Sworn statement that he had ascertained the qualifications of the parties and found no legal impediment to their marriage.
- Moreover, it is enunciated in the said case that the 5-year period of cohabitation must be characterized by (a) *exclusivity* and (b) *continuity*. **Exclusivity** means that the partners must live together exclusively, with no other partners during the whole 5-year period. **Continuity** on the other hand means that, such cohabitation was unbroken. The period is counted from the date of celebration of marriage. It should be the years immediately before the day of the marriage;
- In the present case, the parties entered into a contract of marriage without obtaining a valid marriage license because they mistakenly assumed that they can be exempted from such formal requirement of marriage.
 - The parties instead, executed an affidavit of long cohabitation but the requirement of long cohabitation for at least five (5) years was not satisfied by the parties for they never lived together as husband and wife prior to the celebration of their marriage.
- Attached hereto as **Exhibit "F"** is the copy of Affidavit of Long Cohabitation obtained from the Office of the City Civil Registrar of Tandag City;
- In the case of **Republic vs. Dayot, G.R. No. 175581, March 28, 2008**, Court ruled that "the falsity of the allegation in the sworn affidavit, which would have qualified their marriage as an exception to the requirement for a marriage license, cannot be a mere irregularity, for it refers to a quintessential fact that the law precisely required to be deposed and attested to by the parties under oath. If the essential matter in the sworn affidavit is a lie, then it is but a mere scrap of paper, without force and effect. Hence, it is as if there was no affidavit at all."
 - In sum, the parties' marriage is void ab initio for non-compliance of the formal requisite of a valid marriage license and their reliance in the exception of such requirement in Article 34 of the Family Code which was clearly not met.
- Marriage is void for one of the parties is psychologically incapacitated.**
- Under "Article 36 of the Family Code". A marriage contracted by any party who, at the time of the celebration, was psychologically incapacitated to comply with the essential marital obligations of marriage, shall likewise be void even if such incapacity becomes manifest only after its solemnization;
 - In **Santos v. Court of Appeals, 310 Phil. 21, 39 (1995)**, it held that "psychological incapacity is characterized by: (a) gravity (i.e., it must be grave and serious such that the party would be incapable of carrying out the ordinary duties required in a marriage); (b) *juridical antecedence* (i.e., it must be rooted in the history

- of the party antedating the marriage, although the overt manifestations may emerge only after the marriage); and (c) *incurability* (i.e., it must be incurable, or even if it were otherwise, the cure would be beyond the means of the party involved).";
- In the recent case of **Tan-Andal v. Andal, GR No. 196359 (2021)**, the Supreme Court set new guidelines in determining the existence of psychological incapacity:
- Psychological incapacity is neither a mental incapacity nor a personality disorder that must be proven through expert testimony.** There must be proof, however, of the durable or enduring aspects of a person's personality, called "personality structure," which manifests itself through clear acts of dysfunctionality that undermines the family;
 - Incurable, not in the medical, but in the legal sense;** incurable as to the partner. Psychological incapacity is so enduring and persistent with respect to a specific partner, and contemplates a situation where the couple's respective personality structures are so incompatible and antagonistic that the only result of the union would be the inevitable and irreparable breakdown of the marriage;
 - As to **gravity**, it must be shown that the incapacity is caused by a genuinely serious psychic cause. It is not necessary that it must be shown that the psychological incapacity is a serious or dangerous illness BUT that "mild characterological peculiarities, mood changes, occasional emotional outbursts" are excluded;
 - Juridical antecedence.** The incapacity must be proven to be existing at the time of the celebration of the marriage even if such incapacity becomes manifest only after its solemnization. Proof of this may consist of testimonies describing the environment where the supposedly incapacitated spouse lived that may have led to a particular behavior;
- The **Psychological Report** proved Allan's psychological incapacity; the report stated that:
- Allan M. Marquez is psychologically incapacitated to comply with the obligations of marriage. He exhibited significant impairments in his interpersonal functioning. Specifically, he lacks empathy; he is personally exploitative; abusive; and is an enmeshed relationship with his mother. Said impairments render him incapable of complying with his marital obligations to observe mutual love and respect and render mutual help and support as provided in the Family Code.
- As to the time, gravity and incurability of Allan's behavior, the report convincingly states that:
- There is incapacity existing even before and/or at the time of the celebration of marriage, which became more manifest as both husband and wife continued living together.
- Allan's personality speaks of antecedence as it has an early onset with an enduring patterns and behavior and deviates markedly from the individual's culture. Allan grew up under the care of his strict and controlling mother. As a consequence, they developed an enmeshed relationship which blurred their boundaries. Allan displays an attitude of being personally exploitative, a trait he observed and learned from his mother.
- There is gravity because respondent's unhealthy attachment to his mother, lack of empathy, abusive behavior, and being personally exploitative makes him incapable of complying with his marital obligations. There is no help and support because his

- behavior towards Charity is for personal gain. As a result, his actions created mental suffering and trauma toward Charity.
- There is **incurability** because those behaviors were stable across time and observed as early as childhood, continuing through adulthood. Those behavioral manifestations cannot be cured because he has no insight into his problems to fix them.
- A copy of the Psychological Evaluation Report is hereto attached as **Exhibit "G"**:
- SUMMARY OF WITNESSES AND DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE**
- The following are the witnesses to be presented to prove the Petitioner's claims, namely:
- Charity M. Nolasco
 - She will testify that she and respondent did not secure a marriage license before they entered into a contract of marriage;
 - She and respondent did not live together for at least five (5) years husband and wife without the benefit of marriage;
 - She will prove that respondent, as a husband is incapable of making decisions for himself and for the family;
 - She will testify that even prior to their marriage, respondent already exhibited behaviors of dependence and irresponsibility;
 - She will identify and mark documentary exhibits in support of this petition; and
 - She will prove other matters alleged in the petition.
 - Carolina N. Macalalde
 - She is the sister of petitioner who will corroborate the petitioner's statements;
 - She will testify that petitioner and respondent did not live together for at least five (5) years as husband and wife prior to their marriage;
 - She will prove that respondent is irresponsible and incapable of making decisions for himself and for his marriage; and;
 - She will prove other matters alleged in the petition.
 - Jurilex A. Maglinte, MA, RPs, RPm
 - That she is a licensed and competent Psychologist;
 - That she conducted psychological evaluation to the parties of this case particularly in relation to their capacities as husband and wife;
 - To testify that her psychological evaluation reveals the respondent, Allan M. Marquez, is psychologically incapacitated to comply with marital obligations;
 - That respondent exhibited significant impairments in his interpersonal functioning. Specifically, he lacks empathy; he is personally exploitative and abusive; and is in an enmeshed relationship with his mother;
 - That problems that arise due to shortcomings of Allan M. Marquez are grave enough to bring the disability of the respondent to assume the essential marital obligations.
 - That there is incapacity existing even before and/or at the time of the celebration of marriage, which became more manifest as both husband and wife continued living together;
 - That the incapacity of respondent is incurable as he has no psychological insight that she has a problem.
 - Two reserved witnesses
- These are the documentary evidence that support the allegations contained in this petition and pre-marked as follows:
- Exhibit "A-A1"** - Sworn Certification of Residency
 - Exhibit "B"** - Sworn Statement of Council of Record
 - Exhibit "C"** - Passport ID
 - Exhibit "D"** - Community Tax Certificate
 - Exhibit "E-E1"** - Certificate of Marriage
 - Exhibit "F"** - Affidavit of Long Cohabitation
 - Exhibit "G and series"** - Psychological Evaluation Report
- PRAYER**
- WHEREFORE, premises considered, it is respectfully prayed that the marriage of the parties be declared null and void under Article 3 in relation to Article 34 of the Family Code of the Philippines and Article 36 of the same Code.
- Petitioner prays for such other relief and remedies that are just and reasonable under the premises.
- Respectfully submitted.
- Tago, Surigao del Sur. **JANUARY 21, 2022**
- DIAZ LAW OFFICE**
Purok Sandiya, Victoria, Tago,
Surigao del Sur
Office Tel. No. 086-214-5816
Mobile Phone: 09479615032
E-mail: diazlawoffice2016@gmail.com
- By:
- ATTY. DANIEL L. DIAZ**

Roll No. 57741
IBP No. 191085-01/07/2022
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur
PTR No. 9495013-01/03/2022
Province of Surigao del Sur
MCLE Compliance No. VI-0009679

ATTY RONALD I. MAGALLANO
Roll No. 67773
IBP No. 197301 - 01/07/2022
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur
PTR No. 9495012-01/03/2022
Province of Surigao del Sur
MCLE Compliance No. VI-0012494

Copy Furnished:

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL
134 Amorsolo St., Salcedo Village
Makati City
Registry Receipt No.: _____
Date: _____

OFFICE OF THE: CITY PROSECUTOR
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur
Date Received: 2-4-22

Allan M. Marquez
Edwards Subdivision, Poblacion, District 1
Brooke's Point, Palawan
Registry Receipt No.: _____
Date: _____

Republic of the Philippines)
Province of Surigao del Sur)
Municipality of Tago) s.s.

VERIFICATION/CERTIFICATION of NON-FORUM SHOPPING

I, **CHARITY M. NOLASCO** of legal age, Filipino and a resident of Purok JDN, Brgy. Mabua, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur, after having been duly sworn to in accordance with law do hereby depose and state:

That I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled case; that I have caused the preparation of the foregoing *Petition*; that I have read all the contents and allegations thereof; that the allegations therein are true and correct of my own personal knowledge and based on authentic documents on record.

That I further certify that I have not commenced any other action or proceeding involving the same issues in the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals or any other court, tribunal or agency and to the best of my knowledge, there is no action or proceeding involving the same issues pending before the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, or any other court, tribunal or agency and should I learn thereafter that the similar action or proceeding has been filed or is pending before the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, or any other court, tribunal or agency, I hereby undertake to report the fact within five (5) days therefrom to the Court, where the foregoing petition has been filed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this **JANUARY 19, 2022** day of January, 2022 at Tago, Surigao del Sur, Philippines.

Pro for cof
CHARITY M. NOLASCO
Affiant
Passport ID No.: P4002733B

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this **JANUARY 19, 2022** day of January, 2022 at Tago, Surigao del Sur, Philippines.

[Signature]
ATTY. RONALD I. MAGALLANO
Roll No. 67773
IBP No. 197301 - 01/07/2022
Tandag City, Surigao del Sur
PTR No. 9495012-01/03/2022
Province of Surigao del Sur
MCLE Compliance No. VI-0012494

DOC. No. 55
PAGE No. 12
BOOK No. XII
SERIES OF 2022

PB-Pub.Dates: August 1, & 8, 2023.

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2020-2543
Application for a Certificate of a Public
Convenience to operate a TH (Truck-for-
hire) Service.
BRIANT SAN JOSE RAMIREZ,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
**ORDER AND SECOND
NOTICE OF HEARING**

Applicant filed an application requesting
for authority to operate a TH (Truck-for-
hire) Service within BACOR, CAVITE and
from said place to any point in the PHILIP-
PINES accessible to motor vehicle traffic and
vice versa with the use of ONE (1) unit/s.

Said application was dismissed by this
Board on SEPTEMBER 22, 2022 due to failure
to submit Formal Offer of Evidence and
Motion for Reconsideration thereto was
filed JANUARY 25, 2023. Finding the allegation
contained therein to be impresses with
merit, the same is hereby GRANTED. The
Order of Dismissal dated SEPTEMBER 22,
2022 is hereby LIFTED & SET ASIDE.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
23, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its Office through
online hearing. The Zoom hearing link will be
sent to the applicant's provided email address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5)
working days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the
application must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person, this JULY 31, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2023-23055
Application for a Certificate of a Public
Convenience to operate a Truck-For-Hire
(TH) Service
NELSON ABEL ALBUERA,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a
Truck-For-Hire (TH) Service on the route
within ORIENTAL MINDORO and from said
place to any point in the PHILIPPINES with
the use of TWO (2) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
16, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its office through online
hearing. The Zoom hearing link will be sent to
the applicant's provided email address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5)
working days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the
application must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person this AUGUST 2, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2023-22904
Application for a Certificate of a Public
Convenience to operate a Truck-For-Hire
(TH) Service
LORELIE LINTAN LOPEZ,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a
Truck-For-Hire (TH) Service on the route
within BATANGAS and from said place to
any point in the PHILIPPINES with the use
of ONE (1) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Board on AUGUST

15, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its office through online
hearing. The Zoom hearing link will be sent to
the applicant's provided email address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5)
working days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the
application must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person this AUGUST 2, 2023.

ATTY. JENNIFER LEAH P. ROJAS
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 2023-22973

Application for a Certificate of a Public
Convenience to operate a Truck-For-Hire
(TH) Service
AAI PROJECT LOGISTICS,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant requests authority to operate a
Truck-For-Hire (TH) Service on the route
within PARANAQUE CITY and from said
place to any point in the PHILIPPINES with
the use of SEVEN (7) unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
15, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its office through online
hearing. The Zoom hearing link will be sent to
the applicant's provided email address.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5)
working days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the
application must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person this JULY 31, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 2013-01555
TRACKING ID NO. 167061
Application for Extension of Validity of a Certificate
of Public Convenience to operate a
TAXI AIRCONDITIONED service

JUVY L. TUNGPALAN,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a TAXI
AIRCONDITIONED service within
QUEZON CITY to any point in METRO MANILA
with the use of ONE (1) units which Certificate
is valid up to MARCH 11, 2023. In the applica-
tion filed on JUNE 13, 2023, applicant
requests authority to extend the validity
of the said Certificate on the same route and
with the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Regional Office on AUGUST
14, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online hearing. Three
days before the scheduled hearing, a link shall be
sent to the applicant via email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
man, this 3rd day of AUGUST 2023.

ATTY. MARISSE ALBERTINE C.
ANGELES
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 2013-00112
TRACKING ID NO. 169561

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of Public Convenience to operate a
PUJ service
REY S. AQUINO,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service
within: MALABON (TP) - NAVOTAS (TP)
with the use of ONE (1) units which Certificate
is valid up to JULY 12, 2023. In the applica-
tion filed on JULY 12, 2023, Applicant re-
quests authority to extend the validity of the
said Certificate on the same route and with
the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Regional Office on AUGUST
22, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online hearing. Three
days before the scheduled hearing, a link shall be
sent to the applicant via email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
man, this 26th day of JULY 2023.

ATTY. SHERWIN D. VIZCONDE
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 2012-05043
TRACKING ID NO. 168411

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of Public Convenience to operate a
PUJ service
RONALDO U. RABAJANTE,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service
within: DEL MONTE - KANLAON VIA
MAYON with the use of ONE (1) units which
Certificate is valid up to MAY 17, 2023. In the
application filed on JUNE 14, 2023, Applicant
requests authority to extend the validity of
the said Certificate on the same route and
with the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Regional Office on AUGUST
22, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online hearing. Three
days before the scheduled hearing, a link shall be
sent to the applicant via email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
man, this 14th day of JULY 2023.

ATTY. SHERWIN D. VIZCONDE
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 95-01485
TRACKING ID NO. 169284

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of Public Convenience to operate a
PUJ service
JULIA G. BULAONG,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service
within: DIVISORIA - GASAK VIA H. LOPEZ
with the use of ONE (1) units which Certificate
is valid up to APRIL 30, 2023. In the applica-
tion filed on JULY 7, 2023, Applicant re-
quests authority to extend the validity of the
said Certificate on the same route and with
the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Regional Office on AUGUST
23, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online hearing. Three
days before the scheduled hearing, a link shall be
sent to the applicant via email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above

date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
man, this 25th day of JULY 2023.

ATTY. MARISSE ALBERTINE C.
ANGELES
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. TNVS-2018-11237

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of a Public Convenience to operate a
Transport Network Vehicle Service
(TNVS).

FELIX T. OCTA,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a Transport
Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the
route within METRO MANILA including
MUCEP Area with the use of ONE (1) units,
which Certificate is valid up to SEPTEM-
BER 4, 2023. In the application filed on JULY
20, 2023, applicant request authority to ex-
tend the validity of the said Certificate
within the use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this applica-
tion will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
15, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its office through
online hearing. The Zoom link will be sent to
the email address of the Applicant.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5) work-
ing days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person this JULY 31, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. TNVS-2018-11702

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of a Public Convenience to operate a
Transport Network Vehicle Service
(TNVS).

LEILA A. AGON,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a Transport
Network Vehicle Service (TNVS) on the
route within METRO MANILA including
MUCEP Area with the use of ONE (1) units,
which Certificate is valid up to AUGUST
13, 2023. In the application filed on JULY 20,
2023, applicant request authority to extend
the validity of the said Certificate within the
use of same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this applica-
tion will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
15, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its office through
online hearing. The Zoom link will be sent to
the email address of the Applicant.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above date,
applicant/s shall publish this Notice once in ONE
(1) daily newspaper of general circulation in Luzon.
Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 2021-
043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5) work-
ing days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written oppositions
supported by documentary evidence on or
before the above date furnishing a copy of
the same to the applicant/s and may if they
so, desire appear on said date and time.

This application will be acted upon by this
Board on the basis of its records and document-
ary evidence submitted by the parties, unless
the Board deems it necessary to receive addi-
tional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
person this JULY 31, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
East Avenue, Quezon City
CASE NO. 95-958ST
Application for Extension of Validity of a
Certificate of Public Convenience to operate a
TAXI AIRCONDITIONED (TX) service
MARVIN PREPOTENTE,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a TAXI
AIRCONDITIONED (TX) service on the route
within MANILA from said place to any point
in the island of LUZON accessible to motor
vehicle traffic and vice versa with the use of
ONE (1) units which Certificate is valid up to
OCTOBER 31, 2023. In the application filed
on JULY 26, 2023, applicant request author-
ity to extend the validity of the said Certi-
ficate within the same territory with the use of
the same number of unit.

NOTICE is hereby given that this applica-
tion will be heard by this Board on AUGUST
18, 2023 at 1:00 p.m. at its Office through
online hearing. The Zoom link will be sent to
the email address of the Applicant.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice once
in ONE (1) daily newspaper of general circula-
tion in Luzon.

Pursuant to Memorandum Circular No.
2021-043 in relation to No. 2020-069 (re: Guidelines
in the conduct of on-line hearing during community
quarantine), the Formal Offer of Evidence
(FOE) must be submitted at least FIVE (5) working
days prior to the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

This application will be acted upon by the
Board on the basis of its records and the docu-
mentary evidence submitted by the parties,
unless this Board deems necessary to receive
additional documentary and/or oral evidence.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.

TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-

person, this AUGUST 3, 2023.

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 2012-05381
TRACKING ID NO. 168999

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of Public Convenience to operate a
PUJ service

FERNANDO SALUDAGA,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of
Public Convenience to operate a PUJ service
within: BLUMENTRITT - DIVISORIA with
the use of ONE (1) units which Certificate is
valid up to OCTOBER 25, 2023. In the applica-
tion filed on JUNE 27, 2023, Applicant re-
quests authority to extend the validity of the
said Certificate on the same route and with
the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this applica-
tion will be heard by this Regional Office on
AUGUST 22, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online
hearing. Three days before the scheduled
hearing, a link shall be sent to the applicant via
email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evidences on or
before the above date, furnishing a copy of
the same to applicant and may, if so desires,
appear on the scheduled date of hearing.

WITNESS the Honorable ATTY.
TEOFILO E. GUADIZ III, CESO V, Chair-
man, this 14th day of JULY 2023.

ATTY. SHERWIN D. VIZCONDE
Attorney IV

Republic of the Philippines
Department of Transportation
Land Transportation Franchising and
Regulatory Board
National Capital Region
Regalado Hi-way, North Fairview, Quezon
City

CASE NO. 2015-02328
TRACKING ID NO. 168264

Application for Extension of Validity of a Cer-
tificate of Public Convenience to operate a
PUJ service

MARCELO BARROGA,
Applicant/s.

x-----x
NOTICE OF HEARING

Applicant is a grantee of a Certificate of Public
Convenience to operate a PUJ service within:
MARIKINA - PASIG with the use of ONE (1)
units which Certificate is valid up to MAY 10,
2023. In the application filed on JUNE 29, 2023.

Applicant requests authority to extend the va-
lidity of the said Certificate on the same route
and with the use of the same number of unit/s.

NOTICE is hereby given that this application
will be heard by this Regional Office on AUGUST
14, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. thru online hearing. Three
days before the scheduled hearing, a link shall be
sent to the applicant via email or text message.

At least FIVE (5) days prior to the above
date, applicant/s shall publish this Notice of
Hearing once in ONE (1) daily newspaper of
general circulation in Metro Manila. Further,
applicant is hereby directed to submit his/her
Formal Offer of Evidence at the designated
drop box of this RFRO during business hour
five days before the scheduled hearing.

Parties opposed to the granting of the applica-
tion must file their written opposition
supported by documentary evid

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division

ATTY. RALPH AIDRIN E. BAGUIO,
CPA
Attorney III

ATTY. FREDERICK L. VALERO
Officer-in-Charge Legal Division